# ROBERT SMALLS MIDDLE 43 WK Alston Road Beaufort, South Carolina 29906 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 764 Students Denise R. Smith 843-322-2500 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Herman K. Gaither 843-322-2300 BOARD CHAIR Earl Campbell 843-322-2356 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory U 9 28 10 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 14 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

ND

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

**Our School** 

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Advanced

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	52	233	135
Percent satisfied with learning environment	84.6%	53.5%	61.5%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	84.3%	64.3%	54.4%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	47.1%	73.9%	61.4%

DAGT DEPENDENCE DE CONTRA	

		15 .0		/ ic		/ &	<i>( a b</i>	and,
	/	ent resting	lested old Bi	(1885 L	Basic oh	Proficient of	Advanced olo Profit	cientand de Contractor
	Nolla	40,1	(621 / 05	FlOW OF	883 / 010	Sko.	Adh. Old	righs. 46
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ent Testing	0/0	/	/	/	0/01	cientanded Advanced State
			Er	igiisn/Lar	iguage A			
All students	768	99.5	31.4	47.0	20.5	1.2	21.7	17.6
Gender	000	00.5	00.5	45.0	45.4	4.0	40.0	47.0
Male	380	99.5	38.5	45.2	15.4	1.0	16.3	17.6
Female	388	99.5	25.1	48.7	25.1	1.2	26.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group White	334	99.4	21.2	51.4	25.7	1.7	27.4	17.6
African-American	384	99.5	40.6	43.4	15.7	0.3	16.0	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	100.0	31.3	43.4	25.0	N/A	25.0	17.6
Hispanic	33	100.0	29.2	50.0	16.7	4.2	20.8	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan		100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	1	100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.0
Not disabled	655	99.5	26.1	49.2	23.3	1.4	24.7	17.6
Disabled	113	99.1	69.1	30.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status	113	99.1	09.1	30.9	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	768	99.5	31.3	47.1	20.6	1.1	21.6	17.6
English Proficiency	700	99.5	31.3	47.1	20.0	1.1	21.0	17.0
Limited English proficient	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	758	99.5	30.9	47.3	20.7	1.1	21.8	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	730	00.0	00.0	47.0	20.1	1.1	21.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	454	99.1	41.4	44.3	14.1	0.3	14.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	314	100.0	18.2	50.7	29.0	2.1	31.1	17.6
• •						I	!	' '
				Mathe	matics			
All students	768	99.9	35.7	44.7	14.8	4.8	19.6	15.5
Gender								
Male	380	99.7	36.6	45.5	13.7	4.1	17.8	15.5
Female	388	100.0	35.0	44.1	15.8	5.2	20.9	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	334	100.0	24.5	49.3	19.4	6.8	26.2	15.5
African-American	384	99.7	46.2	41.3	10.7	1.8	12.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	100.0	25.0	56.3	12.5	6.3	18.8	15.5
Hispanic	33	100.0	41.7	29.2	12.5	16.7	29.2	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	655	99.8	30.9	47.1	16.5	5.5	22.0	15.5
Disabled	113	100.0	69.5	28.0	2.4	N/A	2.4	15.5
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	768	99.9	35.6	44.8	14.8	4.7	19.5	15.5
English Proficiency	10	100.0	NI/A	NI/A	N/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Limited English proficient	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient Socio-Economic Status	758	99.9	35.4	45.0	15.0	4.6	19.5	15.5
Subsidized meals	454	99.8	43.6	43.6	9.9	2.9	12.8	15.5
		100.0	25.2	46.5	21.3	7.0	28.3	15.5
Full-pay meals	314	100.0	25.2	40.5	21.3	1.0	<sub>1</sub> 20.3	13.5

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enolin	94 of 16 0/0	les ologi	404,	Box 0/0	610	Adv olo blow
		/ W Q	<u>"                                    </u>	/ 0/0	n/Langua	/		olo
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	171	N/A	25.7	47.9	21.0	5.4	26.3
	Grade 7	255	N/A	22.8	48.0	27.2	2.0	29.1
V	Grade 8	259	N/A	30.0	46.8	20.4	2.8	23.2
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ဗ	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	229	99.6	37.8	39.8	20.4	2.0	22.4
	Grade 7	274	99.3	27.4	49.1	22.6	0.9	23.5
	Grade 8	265	99.6	29.8	51.1	18.2	0.9	19.1

				IVI	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	171	N/A	26.8	42.3	18.5	12.5	31.0
	Grade 7	255	N/A	47.6	29.5	15.7	7.1	22.8
•	Grade 8	259	N/A	44.2	41.4	11.2	3.2	14.3
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	229	100.0	38.1	42.6	14.4	5.0	19.3
	Grade 7	274	100.0	31.4	42.8	19.1	6.8	25.8
	Grade 8	265	99.6	38.1	48.7	10.6	2.7	13.3

# SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 764)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	19.3%	Up from 13.1%	12.4%	14.4%
Retention rate	1.4%	Down from 2.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	95.0%	Down from 99.9%	95.0%	95.2%
	14.3%	Down from 19.5%	12.5%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	13.7%	Up from 13.5%	15.2%	14.1%
	3.8%	Down from 4.1%	4.2%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	3.8%	Up from 2.5%	1.4%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 51)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	45.1%	Down from 53.7%	45.1%	47.1%
	78.4%	Up from 77.8%	82.5%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	84.0%	Up from 75.9%	83.9%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.0%	Down from 97.1%	95.0%	95.0%
	\$41,330	Up 6.0%	\$38,918	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	12.1 days	Down from 12.2 days	10.7 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.3 to 1	Down from 21.1 to 1	21.0 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.6%	Down from 95.6%	88.6%	88.9%
	\$6,438	Down 0.2%	\$5,717	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	50.0%	Down from 53.4%	62.0%	62.0%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	96.2%	Down from 97.0%	96.0%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

A le le second e 41 e con e	C B4' '	D - 4 -
Abbreviations	tor Wissind	ı Data

		Ū	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2002-2003 school year began with a continued emphasis on raising student achievement especially in mathematics, increasing the number of students participating in our intersession programs, increasing our after school program and implementing school wide our Success with Six X 2 Program. Continuing the emphasis in the above areas, along with the collaborative efforts of our teaching staff and administration, has resulted in students being recognized in the following ways: essay contest winners on the county, district, and state levels, 14 South Carolina Junior Scholars, excellent ratings for performances by the Symphonic Band, state participation by the Destination Imagination Team, and a state wrestling championship. The school received a 21st Century Learning Grant to support our after school program. We have also maintained our strong focus on writing, which continues to make us the only middle school in Beaufort County that is a State Exemplary Writing School.

Throughout the year, teachers have integrated problem solving, reasoning, and critical thinking into all curricular areas. All students were required to use technology as they designed problem-based learning units that had a real-world focus while being based on South Carolina Standards.

Student achievement gains as measured by the 2002 PACT did show significant gains in both English language arts and math in sixth grade. Our seventh and eighth grade scores in ELA continued to show improvement, but the improvement in math from the 2001 testing in these two grades did not occur. The staff evaluated the causes for the decrease in math performance and developed a plan to address the areas needing improvement. All math teachers participated in problem-solving activities along with developing and administering common assessments in math. School wide writing exercises in all four academic areas were implemented. Benchmark Tests were administered to provide feedback on our students' progress in reaching goals set for both math and reading. Parents were kept informed of their child's progress in these areas. We believe that the commitment by all teachers to deliver a meaningful educational program for students that insures mastery of grade level concepts will result in improvement in our scores on the 2003 PACT. This intense focus will also provide the foundation for success needed as students move to the next level of their educational program. I look forward to working with staff, parents, and the community during the 2003-2004 school year to establish Robert Smalls as a premiere middle school in South Carolina.

Denise R. Smith Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.